

Why Increasing Alcohol Taxes Makes Sense

Reducing Underage Drinking, High-risk Drinking and Related Harms

A review of 72 studies and reports published in the Journal of Preventive Medicine found strong evidence that raising alcohol taxes is an effective strategy for reducing excessive alcohol consumption and related harms. The authors noted that “increased alcohol taxes are associated with decreased overall consumption, decreased youth consumption, decreased youth binge drinking, reduced alcohol-related motor-vehicle crashes, reduced mortality from liver cirrhosis, and reduced violence.”¹

Why is an increased tax on alcohol needed?

- The economic and social costs of drinking far exceed alcohol tax revenues. Underage drinking alone cost the citizens of Nebraska \$324 million in 2013. These costs include medical care, work loss, and pain and suffering associated with the multiple problems resulting from the use of alcohol by youth.²
- A CDC study reports that, in 2010, Nebraska’s costs due to excessive alcohol consumption were \$1.16 billion, \$491 million of which was paid for by the government.³ In contrast, the state only took in \$27.6 million in taxes that year, or a mere 5.6% of its cost.
- More than 88,000 deaths are caused by excessive alcohol use each year, making it the third-leading cause of preventable death in the United States.⁴ Excessive alcohol use is also a risk factor for many health and societal problems.⁵
- Revenues from increased alcohol taxes can be designated to fund alcohol prevention programs, increased enforcement of alcohol laws (i.e. high-profile sobriety checkpoints), treatment for alcohol-related disorders, or education.
- 52% of Nebraskans surveyed support or strongly support additional taxes.⁶ Furthermore, in a national survey, nearly 81 percent of adults favor an increase of five cents per drink in the tax on beer, wine, or liquor to pay for programs to prevent minors from drinking and to increase alcohol treatment programs.⁷
- 20% of drinkers consume over 85% of all alcoholic beverages.⁸ This means the remaining 80% of drinkers consume, on average, relatively small quantities of alcohol and pay a minimal amount of taxes. Alcohol tax increases impact excessive drinkers more and these drinkers are the ones who are causing all the socio-economic costs in our communities.
- Now a fraction of what they were decades ago, federal alcohol excise tax rates in the United States have fallen off dramatically. Adjusted for inflation, the federal excise tax on a six-pack of beer since 1950 has dropped from \$1.33 to 33 cents, making beer far cheaper today, relative to other consumer products, than it was twenty and thirty years ago, according to the Center for Public Interest.⁹
- The latest CDC Prevention Status Report indicates that Nebraska ranks among the worst states in terms of alcohol excise tax rates.

¹ Elder, et al. (2010)

² PIRE (2015)

³ Sacks, et al. (2015)

⁴ CDC (2014)

⁵ Task Force on Community Preventive Services (2010)

⁶ Nebraska Annual Social Indicators Survey (2017)

⁷ Harwood, Wagenaar, & Bernat (2002)

⁸ Rogers, Greenfield (1999)

⁹ Center For Science in the Public Interest (2007)

- Nebraska's excise tax for beer is \$0.31/gallon; the Community Preventive Task Force (Task Force) recommends the tax to be at or above \$1 per gallon.
- Nebraska's excise tax for wine is \$0.95/gallon; the Task Force recommends the tax to be at or above \$2 per gallon.
- Nebraska's excise tax for distilled spirits is \$3.75/gallon; the Task Force recommends the tax to be at or above \$8 per gallon¹⁰.

Alcohol tax increases work

- **Studies show that increased alcohol taxes are effective.** Higher excise taxes on alcohol are often passed on to consumers, which leads to a reduction in the quantity and frequency of alcohol consumption among youth¹¹, who are more price-sensitive consumers. Higher alcohol prices or taxes have been consistently related to fewer motor vehicle crashes and fatalities, less alcohol-impaired driving, less mortality from liver cirrhosis, less violence, lower incidence of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), and less alcohol-related dependence.¹² Six studies from the systematic reviews conducted by the Task Force found consistent evidence that higher alcohol prices were associated with less youth drinking. On average, a 10 percent increase in the price of alcohol reduced beer, wine and spirit consumption by 5 percent, 6 percent, and nearly 8 percent respectively with alcohol-related crashes and other problems falling by like levels. Smaller increases produce proportional results.¹³
- **How do increased taxes on alcohol prevent underage drinking?** Although alcohol excise taxes are often raised for revenue-generating reasons, several studies suggest that higher excise taxes also have an impact on youth consumption and its consequences. Young adults are more responsive to price increases than adults. Higher taxes on alcohol are associated with less drinking among 16- to 21-year olds and specifically amongst high school students.¹⁴
- **Excise taxes naturally lose effectiveness over time.** Alcohol taxes are implemented at the federal and state level and are beverage specific (i.e. differing for beer, wine and spirits). Excise taxes are based on volume, unlike sales taxes which are based on the sales price. When taxes are based on volume, and not adjusted regularly, their effects are quickly reduced through inflation.

The Nebraska experience

While a number of high-profile drunken driving crashes has seen Nebraska state senators examining a variety of approaches to cracking down on the problem, no bill to address alcohol taxes has made it to the floor for debate in recent legislative sessions.

- Nebraska ranks as the 5th worst state in terms of binge drinking with 21% of adults binge drinking.¹⁵
- Nebraska ranks 2nd worst in terms of self-reported drinking and driving with 955 episodes per 1,000 population.¹⁶ During 2012-17, an average of 77 alcohol-related traffic fatalities have occurred each year due to a drunk driver.¹⁷
- While traffic fatalities are a great concern, they are only a fraction of the total alcohol-attributable deaths Nebraska experiences. Between 2006 and 2010, Nebraska averaged 436 alcohol-attributable deaths per year and more than 12,000 years of potential life lost.¹⁸
- Mirroring the decline of the federal rate due to inflation, Nebraska's inflation-adjusted excise tax on a six-pack has dropped from 32 cents to 17 cents since 1970.¹⁹

¹⁰ CDC (2016)

¹¹ Coate, D. & Grossman, M. (1988)

¹² Center For Science in the Public Interest (2007)

¹³ The Community Guide

¹⁴ Ibid.

¹⁵ CDC (2017)



¹⁶ Jewett et al. (2015)

¹⁷ NDOT Highway Safety Office (2018)

¹⁸ Gonzales, et al. (2014)

¹⁹ Omaha World Herald (2011)

- Nebraska has increased its alcohol taxes just 14 times in the past 80 years with only six of those increases applying across the board, meaning that the tax rate on each type of alcohol was increased at the same time. Rates have not been raised across the board since 1985.²⁰
- If a \$0.10 per drink excise tax increase were to be imposed on alcohol in Nebraska, the state could create an estimated 2,030 new jobs. Furthermore, excessive drinkers would be most impacted by the tax increase, paying over five times more on average than non-excessive drinkers per year.²¹



- A Centers for Disease Control and Prevention analysis of 23 separate sobriety checkpoints in 2002 found the strategy reduced alcohol-related deaths and injuries by an average of 20 percent.²² In Nebraska, this would save 15 lives every year.²³ Such increased enforcement could be funded with revenue from increased alcohol taxes.

²⁰ Nebraska Legislature

²¹ University of Florida et al. (2015)

²² Elder, Schults, Sleet, Nichols, Zaza, and Thompson (2002)

²³ Nebraska Department of Roads (2014)

References

- Center For Science in the Public Interest. (2007). Those Incredibly Shrinking Alcohol Excise Taxes. Washington, DC. Retrieved September 12, 2011, from <http://www.cspinet.org/booze/taxguide/shrinkingtaxes.pdf>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Alcohol-Related Disease Impact (ARDI), last updated on March 24, 2014. Atlanta, GA: CDC. Retrieved on December 7, 2015 from <http://www.cdc.gov/alcohol/onlinetools.htm>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2017). BRFSS Prevalence & Trends Data [online]. Retrieved on November 20, 2018 from <http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/brfssprevalence/index.html>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Prevention Status Reports, last updated on March 31, 2016. Atlanta, GA: CDC. Retrieved on March 20, 2018 from <https://www.cdc.gov/psr/?state=Nebraska>
- Coate, D. & Grossman, M. (1998). The effects of alcoholic beverage prices and legal drinking ages on youth alcohol use. *The Journal of Law and Economics*. 31(1):145.
- Cordes, Henry J. "Higher tax good for what ails us?" *Omaha World Herald* [Omaha] April 10, 2011, Metro/Region Section. <http://www.omaha.com/article/20110410/NEWS01/704109969>
- Elder, R. W., Lawrence, B., Ferguson, A., Naimi, T. S., Brewer, R. D., Chattopadhyay, S. K., ... & Task Force on Community Preventive Services. (2010). The effectiveness of tax policy interventions for reducing excessive alcohol consumption and related harms. *American journal of preventive medicine*, 38(2), 217-229.
- Gonzales, K., Roeber, J., Kanny, D., Tran, A., Saiki, C., Johnson, H., ... & Miller, T. (2014). Alcohol-attributable deaths and years of potential life lost--11 States, 2006-2010. *MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report*, 63(10), 213-216.
- Grossman, M.; Chaloupka, F.J.; Saffer, H.; and Laixuthai, A. (1994). Alcohol price policy and youths: A summary of economic research. *Journal of Research on Adolescence* 4(2):347-364.
- Guide to Community Preventive Services. Task Force Recommends Increasing Alcohol Taxes to Prevent Excessive Alcohol Use and Other Harms. <https://www.thecommunityguide.org/content/increased-alcohol-taxes-can-prevent-excessive-alcohol-use-and-other-harms>.
- Harwood, E. M., Wagenaar, A. C., & Bernat, D. H. (2002). *Youth access to alcohol survey: Summary report*. University of Minnesota: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. (http://www.aep.umn.edu/wp-content/uploads/2012/04/pub_op_report.pdf).
- Jewett, A., Shults, R. A., Banerjee, T., & Bergen, G. (2015). Alcohol-Impaired Driving Among Adults-United States, 2012. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 64(30), 814-817.
- "Nebraska Office of Highway Safety: Statistics and Crash Data." *Transportation.nebraska.gov*. Nebraska Department of Roads, n.d. Web. 17 Feb. 2014.
- Nebraska Annual Social Indicators Survey (2017). University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Bureau of Sociological Research. Lincoln, NE.
- Nebraska Department of Transportation Highway Safety Office (2018). Nebraska Alcohol-Related Crashes/Fatalities vs All Fatal Crashes/Fatalities. Lincoln, NE. Retrieved October 4, 2018, from <https://dot.nebraska.gov/media/6481/al2crafat.pdf>
- Nebraska Legislature. (n.d). Description of Five Largest Miscellaneous State Taxes. Retrieved on November 8, 2018 from https://nebraskalegislature.gov/app_rev/source/narr_miscstatax.htm
- Pacific Institute on Research & Evaluation (2015). Underage Drinking in Nebraska: The Facts. Washington, DC: OJJDP.
- Rogers, J.D. & Greenfield, T.K. (1999). "Who Drinks Most of the Alcohol in the U.S.? The Policy Implications." *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*. 60(1).
- Sacks, J. J., Gonzales, K. R., Bouchery, E. E., Tomedi, L. E., & Brewer, R. D. (2015). 2010 National and State Costs of Excessive Alcohol Consumption. *American journal of preventive medicine*, 49(5), e73-e79.
- "Taxes and Spending in Nebraska." *Nebraskalegislature.gov*. Nebraska Legislature, n.d. Web. 18 Feb. 2014.
- University of Florida, University of Illinois at Chicago, Boston Medical Center, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health. (2014). Consumer Costs and Job Impacts from State Alcohol Tax Increases. Retrieved on December 20, 2015 from <http://www.camry.org/research-to-practice/price/alcohol-tax-tool/>

Contact Information

Project Extra Mile
11620 M Circle
Omaha, NE 68137
Ph: (402) 963-9047
Email: info@projectextramile.org